

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 1053 OF 2020

Sh. Paramjit Singh, (8968785583)
House No. 83, Mohalla Ahluwalia,
Mukerian, District Hoshiarpur-144211

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Deputy Commissioner,
Hoshiarpur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Deputy Commissioner,
Hoshiarpur.

...Respondents

HEARD THROUGH CISCO WEBEX – VC

PRESENT: Sh. Paramjit Singh, Appellant.
Sh. Jagtar Singh, Tehsildar O/o Tehsil Office, Mukerian.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 03-02-2021.

2. The Appellant is present for hearing through CISCO Webex and states that information provided by the Respondents is incomplete.

3. The Respondent attends the hearing through Webex states that the Appellant did not turn up for inspection of records on 10-02-2021 though he visited their office on 08-03-2021 and he was shown the relevant record but he could not identify any information. He further states that the Appellant brought some Xeroxed documents for attestation/certification from him but he refused to do so as the same did not pertain to their office. However, he was informed that he can get the information from the Copying Branch (Suvidha Centre), a facility provided by the Punjab Government in the interest of general public, by depositing necessary fee.

4. After a few minutes of hearing/conversation, the Appellant left the CISCO WEBEX in between the court hearing. He is tried to be contacted over his Mobile but again instead of joining the hearing he disconnected the phone time and again. Lastly his phone is found switched off.

5. On perusal of the case file it is observed that he has been provided with sufficient opportunities for inspection and identification of relevant record and get the demanded information from the Respondents. The Commission has tried its best to help the Appellant to get the demanded information from the Respondent allowing him inspection of record, but he failed to do so on the one pretext of the other. In view of the above, it is observed that the Appellant is not interested in getting the information and he is just wasting the time of the court. Therefore, the case is ordered to be **disposed of and closed.**

6. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
09-03-2021

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

Copy to intimation and further necessary action:

Sh. Jagtar Singh,
Tehsildar-cum-PIO,
Tehsil Office, Mukerian, District Hoshiarpur.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 1054 OF 2020

Sh. Paramjit Singh, (8968785583)
House No. 83, Mohalla Ahluwalia,
Mukerian, District Hoshiarpur-144211

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Commissioner,
Hoshiarpur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Commissioner,
Hoshiarpur.

..Respondents

HEARD THROUGH CISCO WEBEX – VC

PRESENT: Sh. Paramjit Singh, Appellant.
Sh. Jagtar Singh, Tehsildar O/o Tehsil Office, Mukerian.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 03-02-2021.

2. The Appellant is present for hearing through CISCO Webex and states that information provided by the Respondents is incomplete.

3. The Respondent attends the hearing through Webex states that the Appellant did not turn up for inspection of records on 10-02-2021 though he visited their office on 08-03-2021 and he was shown relevant record but he could not identify any information. He further states that the Appellant brought some Xeroxed documents for attestation/certification from him but he refused to do so as the same did not pertain to their office. However, he was informed that he can get the information from the Copying Branch (Suvidha Centre), a facility provided by the Punjab Government in the interest of general public, by depositing necessary fee.

4. After a few minutes of hearing/conversation, the Appellant left the CISCO WEBEX in between the court hearing. He is tried to be contacted over his Mobile but again instead of joining the hearing he disconnected the phone time and again. Lastly his phone is found switched off.

5. On perusal of the case file it is observed that he has been provided with sufficient opportunities for inspection and identification of relevant record and get the demanded information from the Respondents. The Commission has tried its best to help the Appellant to get the demanded information from the Respondent allowing him inspection of record, but he failed to do so on the one pretext of the other. In view of the above, it is observed that the Appellant is not interested in getting the information and he is just wasting the time of the court. Therefore, the case is ordered to be **disposed of and closed.**

6. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
09-03-2021

Copy to intimation and further necessary action:

Sh. Jagtar Singh,
Tehsildar-cum-PIO,
Tehsil Office, Mukerian, District Hoshiarpur.

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 2389 OF 2020

Sh. Paramjit Singh, (8968785583)
House No. 83, Mohalla Ahluwalia,
Mukerian, District Hoshiarpur-144211

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Deputy Commissioner,
Hoshiarpur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Deputy Commissioner,
Hoshiarpur.

..Respondents

HEARD THROUGH CISCO WEBEX – VC

PRESENT: Sh. Paramjit Singh, Appellant.
Sh. Amanpal Singh, DRO and Sh. Jagtar Singh, Tehsildar on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 02-03-2021.

2. The Appellant is present for hearing through CISCO Webex and states that information provided by the Respondents is incomplete.

3. The Respondent attends the hearing through Webex states that the Appellant did not turn up for inspection of records on 10-02-2021 though he visited their office on 08-03-2021 and he was shown the relevant record but he could not identify any information. He further states that the Appellant brought some Xeroxed documents for attestation/certification from him but he refused to do so as the same did not pertain to their office. However, he was informed that he can get the information from the Copying Branch (Suvidha Centre), a facility provided by the Punjab Government in the interest of general public, by depositing necessary fee.

4. After a few minutes of hearing/conversation, the Appellant left the CISCO WEBEX in between the court hearing. He is tried to be contacted over his Mobile but again instead of joining the hearing he disconnected the phone time and again. Lastly his phone is found switched off.

5. On perusal of the case file it is observed that he has been provided with sufficient opportunities for inspection and identification of relevant record and get the demanded information from the Respondents. The Commission has tried its best to help the Appellant to get the demanded information from the Respondent allowing him inspection of record, but he failed to do so on the one pretext of the other. In view of the above, it is observed that the Appellant is not interested in getting the information and he is just wasting the time of the court. Therefore, the case is ordered to be **disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
09-03-2021

Copy to intimation and further necessary action:

Sh. Jagtar Singh,
Tehsildar-cum-PIO,
Tehsil Office, Mukerian, District Hoshiarpur.

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 1827 OF 2020

Shri Balwant Singh, (7889164900)
S/o Sh. Balveer Singh,
R/o Iqbal Colony, Malerkotla,
District Sangrur.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o The District Education Officer (S.E.),
Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority
O/o The District Education Officer (S.E.),
Sangrur.

...Respondents

HEARD Through CISCO WEBEX – VC/TELEPHONE

PRESENT: None on behalf the Appellant.
Sh. Balbir Singh, Principal, Govt. Sr. Sec. School, Chak Shekhpur Kalan,
(Sangrur) on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 14-01-2021.

2. The Respondent states that pursuant to previous order of the Commission, Affidavit has been filed by the Respondent-PIO and copy the same has been sent to the Appellant by on 08.1.2021 and original affidavit sent to Commission on 12.01.2021. The Affidavit is taken on record.

3. The Appellant is absent for the second time consecutively without any intimation to Commission. Thus, it is presumed that he has received the information and copy of affidavit provided by the Respondents and he is satisfied with the same. However, the Appellant is at liberty to collect the original affidavit from the Commission on any working day from 09.00AM to 05.00PM.

4. Keeping in view of the above the case is ordered to be **disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
09-03-2021

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 1977 OF 2020

Sh. Kuldip Singh, (9888123403)
Sohia Road, Ward No. 7,
Rajgarh Colony, Sangrur.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o The DPI(Secondary),
Punjab School Education Board Complex,
Phase-8, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

First Appellate Authority
O/o DPI(Secondary),
Punjab School Education Board Complex,
Phase-8, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

...Respondents

HEARD Through CISCO WEBEX – VC/TELEPHONE

PRESENT: Shri Kuldip Singh, the Appellant.
Sh. Gurjot Singh, Assistant Director-cum-PIO O/o DPI (SE) on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 14-01-2021.

2. The Respondent states that the available information has been supplied to the Appellant vide letter dated 06.01.2021.
3. The Appellant admits receipt of demanded information from the Respondents and expresses his satisfaction. He further requests the court to close the case.
4. The information stands provided and no further action by the Commission in this case is called for. Hence, the case is ordered to be **disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
09-03-2021

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 3660 OF 2020

Sh. Subhash Chander, (8427674839)
Deputy Ranger (Retd.),
R/o New Luxmi Nagar, Gali No. 2,
Kapurthala.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Deputy Commissioner,
Kapurthala.

First Appellate Authority
O/o The Deputy Commissioner,
Kapurthala.

...Respondents

HEARD Through CISCO WEBEX – VC/TELEPHONE

PRESENT Advocate Pawan Walia on behalf of the Appellant.
Shri Satbir Singh, Supdt-cum-APIO, on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER

The RTI is dated 04-06-2020 whereof the Appellant demanded certain information as enumerated in his RTI application from the PIO O/o the DC, Kapurthala. He filed his 1st appeal to First Appellate Authority (FAA) on dated 22-07-2020. He filed his 02nd appeal to Punjab State Information Commission U/S 19 of RTI Act 2005, on 25-11-2020.

2. Notice for hearing to both the parties was issued for 09-3-2021 to appear before the Commission.
3. The Representative of the Appellant states that the sought for information has not been supplied by the Respondents till date.
4. The Respondents states that demanded information can be had from the "Copying Agency" (Suvidha Centre), the facility provided by the Punjab Government to public, by depositing requisite documentation fee. He further states that the FAA had also decided the case by directing the Appellant to get the demanded information from the Copying Agency. As such, the Representative of the Appellant is directed to get the information from the Copying Agency by depositing requisite documentation fee.
5. In view of the above, the case is ordered to be **disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
09-03-2021

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 3662 OF 2020

Sh. Amritpal Singh, (9815362281)
S/o Avtar Singh,
R/o House No. 263A/13, Street No. 8,
Hussainpura, District Amritsar.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Municipal Corporation,
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority
O/o The Commissioner,
Municipal Corporation, Amritsar.

...Respondents

HEARD Through CISCO WEBEX – VC/TELEPHONE

PRESENT: Shri Amritpal Singh the Appellant.
Shri Manu Sharma, DCFA-cum-PIO, on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER:

The RTI is dated 31-08-2020 whereof the Appellant demanded certain information as enumerated in his RTI application from the PIO O/o the Municipal Corporation, Amritsar. He filed his 1st appeal to First Appellate Authority (FAA) on dated 12-10-2020. He filed his 02nd appeal to Punjab State Information Commission U/S 19 of RTI Act 2005, on 25-11-2020.

2. Notice for hearing to both the parties was issued for 09-3-2021 to appear before the Commission.

3. The Respondents states that requisite information has already been provided to the Appellant.

4. The Appellant admits that the sought for information has been supplied by the Respondents and he is satisfied with the same. He further requests the court to close the case.

5. In the light of above, the case is ordered to be **disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
09-03-2021

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner